

## Anno Accademico 2018/2019

| ETHICS AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Anno immatricolazione              | 2017/2018  |  |
| Anno offerta                       | 2018/2019  |  |
| Normativa                          | DM270  |  |
| SSD                                | SPS/01 (FILOSOFIA POLITICA)  |  |
| Dipartimento                       | DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE POLITICHE E SOCIALI  |  |
| Corso di studio                    | WORLD POLITICS AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS (POLITICA<br>NEL MONDO E RELAZIONI INTERNAZIONALI)  |  |
| Curriculum                         | PERCORSO COMUNE  |  |
| Anno di corso                      | 2°   |  |
| Periodo didattico                  | Primo Semestre (01/10/2018 - 14/12/2018)   |  |
| Crediti                            | 6  |  |
| Ore                                | 40 ore di attività frontale  |  |
| Lingua insegnamento                | English  |  |
| Tipo esame                         | SCRITTO  |  |
| Docente                            | CARTER IAN FRANK (titolare) - 6 CFU  |  |
| Prerequisiti                       | The course assumes only a basic acquantance with the classic<br>questions of political philosophy, together with an awareness of the<br>complexity of the dilemmas arising in international relations. Above all, it<br>presupposes a willingess and ability to question one's own ethical<br>beliefs and to subject them to rigorous scrutiny.  |  |
|                                    | Students with no previous experience of political philosophy should<br>consult an introductory text such as Jonathan Wolff, An Introduction to<br>Political Philosophy (Oxford University Press), Will Kymlicka,<br>Contemporary Political Philosophy. An Introduction (Oxford University<br>Press) chs 1-4, or Colin Bird, An Introduction to Political Philosophy<br>(Cambridge University Press). |  |
|                                    | More specific preparatory reading can include some or all of the following:  |  |

|                       | <ul> <li>P. Pettit, "Consequentialism" and N.A. Davis, "Contemporary<br/>Deontology", in The Blackwell Companion to Ethics;</li> <li>J. Waldron, "Rights" and C. Brown, "International Affairs", in The<br/>Blackwell Companion to Political Philosophy;</li> <li>M. Black, "Immigration" and C.A.J. Coady, "War and Terrorism", in The<br/>Blackwell Companion to Applied Ethics.</li> </ul>   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Obiettivi formativi   | <ul> <li>The course aims to provide students with:</li> <li>knowledge of the main dilemmas and arguments that have featured in contemporary ethical debates around global justice, territorial rights, migration rights, war, terrorism and humanitarian intervention;</li> <li>understanding of the philosophical theories behind those dilemmas and arguments;</li> <li>an improved ability to make clear and informed ethical assessments of the political and legal scenarios and decisions studied in other, more empirically oriented courses in world politics and international relations;</li> <li>an improved ability to engage in debates with efficacy and argumentative rigor.</li> </ul>  |
| Programma e contenuti | This is a course in applied philosophy: we shall be investigating<br>philosophical problems that arise in the specific context of international<br>relations. In particular, we shall be investigating problems of an ethical<br>nature, problems that involve deciding what, in a moral sense, is the  |
|                       | right thing to do.<br>In discussing these problems, we shall take for granted certain facts<br>about the international world: that states exist, lay claim to territories,<br>and exercise coercive power; that states protect, but also violate,<br>human rights; that conflicts, including armed conflicts, arise between<br>states, and between groups within and across states. We shall be trying<br>to explain, not these facts themselves, but our moral reactions to them,<br>and the moral duties and claims that we think states, groups, and<br>individuals have with respect to one another in the various contexts that<br>these facts create. Such moral duties and claims might or might not turn<br>out to coincide with the dictates of international law. |
|                       | Many of the moral dilemmas to be discussed in this course can be<br>understood as conflicts between different kinds of moral right. We shall<br>therefore begin by discussing the concept of a right and, more generally,<br>the contrast between deontological and consequentialist forms of moral<br>reasoning. We shall then move on to discuss several interrelated topics:   |
|                       | 1. Human rights and international distributive justice. What kind of a right is a human right? Are duties of global justice best understood as deriving from human rights? Are basic rights best understood as rights against being harmed?   |
|                       | 2. Territorial rights. How, if at all, can a state come to have a moral right<br>to govern and control a particular territory? Are territorial rights like<br>property rights? What are the ethical grounds of national<br>self-determination? Is there a right of secession?   |
|                       | 3. The right of free movement, and the rights and duties of states with   |

|                                    | respect to migrants. Is there a human right to freedom of movement?<br>Do territorial rights include the right to exclude migrants?  |
|------------------------------------|--|
|                                    | 4. The ethics of security and war. May liberty be sacrificed for security?<br>When is a state morally justified in going to war? When is humanitarian<br>intervention justified? What is terrorism? Do civilians, soldiers, and<br>terrorists differ in their degrees of moral immunity to attack,<br>imprisonment or torture? |
| Metodi didattici                   | The course is divided more or less equally into lectures and seminars.   |
|                                    | The seminars consist in discussions and applications of set texts.<br>Students are expected to engage actively in debates, both formally and<br>informally, and to write an essay at the end of the course.  |
| Testi di riferimento               | A reading list is supplied during the course. Readings discussed in class include the following:   |
|                                    | Peter Singer, "Famine, Affluence, and Morality", Philosophy and Public Affairs, 1 (1972), pp. 229-43.  |
|                                    | Thomas Pogge, "How Should Human Rights be Conceived?", in T.<br>Pogge, World Poverty and Human Rights (Cambridge: Polity, 2008).<br>Anna Stilz, "Why Do States Have Territorial Rights?", International<br>Theory, 1 (2009), pp. 185-213.  |
|                                    | Christopher H. Wellman, "A Defense of Secession and Political Self-Determination", Philosophy and Public Affairs, 24 (1995), pp. 142-71.   |
|                                    | Arash Abizadeh, "Democratic Theory and Border Coercion. No Right to<br>Unilaterally Control Your Own Borders", Political Theory, 36 (2008), pp.<br>37-65.  |
|                                    | Michael Walzer, Just and Unjust Wars (New York: Basic Books, 1977).<br>Jeff McMahan, "The Ethics of Killing in War", Ethics, 114 (2004),<br>Sections I – III, pp. 693-702; Sections VI – IX, pp. 718-33.   |
|                                    | Igor Primoratz, "What is Terrorism?", Journal of Applied Philosophy, 7 (1990), pp. 129-38.   |
| Modalità verifica<br>apprendimento | The final mark depends on (1) participation in class; (2) an assessed essay; (3) an oral exam.   |
|                                    | Students' participation in class will be assessed on the basis of<br>evidence of reading, criticisms or applications of the author's arguments,<br>the ability and willingness to interact effectively in discussions, and the<br>rigour with which arguments are presented and defended.                                      |
|                                    | Essays will be assessed in terms of their clarity, structure, and<br>argumentative rigor, in terms of their sensitivity to the relevant ethical<br>and philosophical issues, and, ultimately, in terms of the efficacy with<br>which they answer the relevant research question.   |
|                                    | Performance in the subsequent oral exam will be assessed on the basis<br>of students' ability to clarify and/or defend the arguments presented in<br>their essay, including in light of any relevant wider issues that arose<br>during the course.   |
| Altre informazioni                 | The final mark depends on (1) participation in class; (2) an assessed  |

|  | essay; (3) an oral exam.  |
|--|---|
|  | Students' participation in class will be assessed on the basis of<br>evidence of reading, criticisms or applications of the author's arguments,<br>the ability and willingness to interact effectively in discussions, and the<br>rigour with which arguments are presented and defended. |
|  | Essays will be assessed in terms of their clarity, structure, and<br>argumentative rigor, in terms of their sensitivity to the relevant ethical<br>and philosophical issues, and, ultimately, in terms of the efficacy with<br>which they answer the relevant research question.          |
|  | Performance in the subsequent oral exam will be assessed on the basis<br>of students' ability to clarify and/or defend the arguments presented in<br>their essay, including in light of any relevant wider issues that arose<br>during the course.  |
| Obiettivi Agenda 2030 per lo<br>sviluppo sostenibile | <u>\$IbI_legenda_sviluppo_sostenibile_</u>  |